### A P P E N D I X F

## COMMENTS LETTERS ON THE DRAFT GENERAL PLAN

.....


## **Woltering, Nancy**

From: Laurel Chambers <Laurel.Chambers@sonoma-county.org>

Sent: Friday, November 1, 2024 3:22 PM

**To:** Woltering, Nancy; Jones, Jessica; Nicholson, Amy

**Cc:** scfsa@googlegroups.com

**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Sonoma County Food System Alliance GP Engagement

Attachments: Food Equity Santa Rosa General Plan - Meeting Summary.pdf; Sign-in Sheet\_8.22.23.pdf;

Santa Rosa General Plan Revision Tracker.xlsx

#### Good afternoon,

I am writing on behalf of the Sonoma County Food System Alliance (SCFSA) regarding the General Plan draft released last month. We were surprised and disappointed to see that the new draft of the Food Access and Urban Agriculture has been significantly pared back and the language weakened. We complied the attached comparison spreadsheet to show how the draft policies changed over time alongside the feedback our group provided.

Santa Rosa City planners attended multiple SCFSA meetings and gathered our thoughts and feedback leading up to the first draft of the General plan released last summer. They assured us that they had reviewed the SCFSA's <u>Food Action Plan</u> and would incorporate its goals. We provided feedback on the draft concepts and helped shape the goals and actions that ended up in the draft. We then spent considerable time, effort, and our own funding to co-host a community engagement event on August 22<sup>nd</sup>, 2023. It was a wonderful collaboration and the planners were very appreciative of the feedback and the excellent turnout. About 50 people attended the event and 36 signed in on the sign-in sheet, but the <u>Community Discussions Summary</u> says there were only 17 participants and the document does not reflect the report that the facilitator prepared (see attached Meeting Summary).

Can you please explain why our input was not accurately reflected in the Community Discussions Summary and why actions were removed or changed in the most recent draft?

Sincerely,

Laurel Chambers, on behalf of the Sonoma County Food System Alliance

#### Laurel Chambers, MPH

Healthy Eating, Active Living Coordinator Public Health Division Cell: 408-204-0973

laurel.chambers@sonoma-county.org









# Food Equity in the Santa Rosa General Plan Workshop

August 22, 2023 6:00 - 8:00 pm Finley Community Center | Santa Rosa, CA

#### Overview

This document includes a summary of the Food Equity in the Santa Rosa General Plan Event. This event was a partnership between the Sonoma County Food System Alliance, the County of Sonoma Department of Health Services (DHS), and the City of Santa Rosa, with funding from the CalFresh Healthy Living program. Ag Innovations, a 501c3 nonprofit based in Sonoma County facilitated the event. About 50 people participated in this workshop.

## Acknowledgments

- Thank you to the City of Santa Rosa for partnering in the design of the workshop, and providing the meeting space, childcare, and for welcoming a community-led event.
- Thank you to the Sonoma County Food System Alliance for volunteering time in providing input, convening this workshop. A special thank you to Laurel Chambers, DHS, for hosting; to Julia Van Soelen Kim, UC Cooperative Extension, and Laurel Chambers for presenting on behalf of the SCFSA, to Wendy Krupnick for providing local goodies during the event, Helen Myers for creating the outreach materials, and to the FSA Volunteers who hosted tables, studied the general plan, and supported the convening, including: Mimi Enright, Evan Wiig, Phina Borgeson, Christine Kuehn, and Suzi Grady. Special thanks to Jessyca Avalos from the Sonoma COAD who helped with translation and table hosting.
- Thank you to DHS for providing funding to support facilitation by Ag Innovations, outreach support, and logistical support.







## About the Workshop

Objectives	<ul> <li>Participants understand the food-system-related sections of the Santa Rosa General Plan</li> <li>Santa Rosa residents as well as other Sonoma County residents interested in the Santa Rosa General Plan feel heard and have a sense that their interests have been accurately captured and can influence policy making</li> <li>Santa Rosa city planners are well informed about the kind of food system policies that local residents, and in particular the FSA, want to see in the General Plan</li> <li>The SCFSA has a clear understanding of next steps for following up with Santa Rosa city planners, workshop participants, and others, in order to carry forward the input gathered</li> </ul>
Attendees	There were about 50 attendees (including organizers), including 8 who participated in a Spanish-speaking breakout group.
Speakers	<ol> <li>Laurel Chambers, Sonoma County &amp; Julia Van Soelen Kim, UCCE (Presentation can be found here in English and Spanish.)</li> <li>Genevieve Taylor, Ag Innovations (Facilitator)</li> <li>Beatriz Guerrero Auna, City of Santa Rosa (Presentation can be found here in English and Spanish.)</li> </ol>
Meeting Materials	<ul> <li>Link to food policy draft:         https://www.srcity.konveio.com/general-plan-update-2050-draft#page=182     </li> <li>Link to Food Action Plan: <a href="https://sonomacofsa.wordpress.com/food-action-plan/">https://sonomacofsa.wordpress.com/food-action-plan/</a></li> </ul>

## Summary

The following summary was developed at the end of the event, as each breakout group reported out its top three themes.

## Food Equity in the Santa Rosa General Plan Event Themes

- 1. Healthy Food in Schools: \*\*Note, Top Theme\*\* this theme was present in five out of six breakout groups.
  - Strengthen the ability of schools to source locally
    - Food hubs facilitate institutional purchasing from multiple producers and we need more of them
    - Need more education inside and outside of schools that is appropriate to culture and language.
    - Some suggested that schools could be hubs for community gardens (not only school gardens).
- 2. Healthy Food Access
  - Strong support for growing food in as many different ways as possible via urban ag. \*\*Note, Top Theme \*\* This theme was present in three of six breakout groups.
  - Ensuring BIPOC land access and ownership. The group was very aware of the dynamics of social equity and looked at the Food Action Plan goal of social equity from a number of different angles.
  - How do we increase demand for and access to local healthy food rather than cheap fast food?
     Lets make sure healthy food is available at a neighborhood by neighborhood scale. \*\*Note, Top Theme\*\* This theme was present in three of six breakout groups.

- Instead of restricting fast food by setting limits on how close together they can be, look at the density of fast food in neighborhoods—some neighborhoods have much higher density of fast food than others
- It's not enough to mandate all small corner stores to sell healthy food, they have to do something to increase demand for that food so it doesn't go to waste and cost the store.
- Support local food, such as tamales and local fruit.
- Support for edible landscaping, urban fruit trees, allowing people to grow food in as many places and ways as possible.
- o Excited to see community gardens in general plan—but needs more support
  - Community gardens need a coordinator and resources to prevent them from falling into neglect.
  - Community Healthworkers could be a resource for supporting community gardens.
  - Bayer Farm and Andy's Unity Garden are neighborhood hubs and important sources of healthy food, education and community—every neighborhood should have one
  - Allow/facilitate more community gardens in parks (example: Place to Play is huge and has space for a garden) And/or a farm.
- o Food waste prevention—need repurposing kitchens to meet mandates in SB 1383 to reduce greenhouse gasses as a result of food waste.
- O Support for food hubs, including distribution, aggregation, and processing, alongside support for micro businesses and home kitchens and small kitchens. Suggested food hub zoning.
- City should come to the neighborhoods with services as much as possible—offer office space to CalFresh, other food assistance so it is easier for people to sign up for benefits
- 3. Consider the present and future of Farming. Climate change is happening now and we need to ensure access to water for farmers.
- 4. Recommendations for the Food System Alliance: A number of breakout groups gave advice directed specifically to the Sonoma County Food System Alliance, including: 1) The FSA should consider telling the FSA story more clearly and publicly, 2) Identify clear calls to action and ensure that the Food Action Plan is flexible in the face of a changing future, 3) supporting these ideas by identifying funding, research and big policy ideas or initiatives, and supporting accountability for implementation of these policies, and 4) following up on supporting healthy food in schools through building a coalition where parents can get involved. Electeds, CDFA Rep would be interested, and potentially connect it to edible food recovery efforts in the county.

## **Next Steps**

- 1) Send the summary as a comment to the City of Santa Rosa General Plan, in order to support their EJ Element in the General Plan
- 2) Please comment online here: https://www.srcity.konveio.com/general-plan-update-2050-draft#page=182
- 3) Start Healthy School Food Coalition meetings
- 4) Get involved in the FSA please contact us <a href="here">here!</a>
- 5) Food System Alliance will review and reflect on what was learned and let it influence the future and present of the Food System Alliance.



## **Breakout Group Notes**

The following are "raw notes" developed from each breakout group. There are very specific and actionable ideas throughout these notes, including specific feedback on policy points in the General Plan draft. The groups were asked to review a summary of the Food Action Plan and a two page draft of the relevant section of the General Plan.

Each group was given 45 minutes to discuss in groups of 6 the following questions: 1) What resonates about the Food Action Plan and the Food System Alliance input? 2) Based on your interests and concerns about the Sonoma County Food System, what questions do you have? 3) What are you excited to see in the General Plan? 4) What do you see missing from the General Plan? 5) Anything else you want to make sure the city planners consider as they finalize the General Plan?

#### **Breakout Group 1**

- Inform everyone of the intention of the food action plan
- Basic needs are human needs. This is where all human problems are connected eating unhealthy foods. The children are our future and they are being poisoned from unhealthy food.
- The title of the plan was noteworthy for some
- Participants would like to listen to what the city has to offer and share with the community
- One of the participants is a local farmer and would like to share his land. Create space for community gardens and community kitchens.
- Need to have incentives to allow local farmers and restaurant workers affordable housing
- Love the pillar to limit fast food restaurants
- Add to the checklist to offer vegetarian foods
- Information for nutrition to the community with cooking classes that are accessible for everyone and are culturally sensitive
- Information needs to be in indigenous languages too
- Want support for the tamale cart not to be harassed by the police
- We need better food in the schools
- Local gardens that are accessible to the community
- Educate the community on what vegetation is for each season
- No chemicals / pesticides
- Fresh food (i.e. non-frozen) that is full of nutrition
- Less burgers, less last, and less chemicals
- Need housing with space for vegetation and gardening green space to cultivate and enjoy
- Community gardens currently Land Paths have rented spaces however people have had these spaces for many years. Can they cycle and allow more people or purchase more land and make it more accessible?
- Focus on the school system lunches for a better future
- City should allow the raising of livestock (goats, cows, pigs)
- Educate the community about different times to grow different types of vegetables need to be culturally accessible and to learn how to maintain the community garden areas
- Education in nutrition for all!
- Streets with fruit trees, like in the Barlow
- Incentivize developer to add vegetation and landscaping that is edible
- Inform the community on how to get proper food
- Offer licensing so that they are not harassed by the police

#### Breakout Group 2

#### FSA Actions

 There should be a forum for parents to advocate for healthy school food / Revisit forum done for school food

#### Other comments

- Add community based organizations as partners
- Organic and regenerative is missing from the SRGP
- 6-6.5 too weak. Can we get to the root of the problem? Why can't we have stronger language and mandates for healthy food?
- Can we direct City to start canvassing CBOS and other partners to work on food system issues, specifically 6-6.6
- Hubs for food to support school food and small growers
- Hubs for social services more neighborhood resource centers where people can apply for food assistance programs
- Promote gleaning and food recovery to residences and businesses

#### **Breakout Group 3**

#### Food Action Plan (FAP)

- Pillars 3&4 relate to current work
- Social equity important domino effects on health
- Pillars 1 and 2 important preference for SoCo products what's cheap now has costs
- Clear call to action important. How to reach those not involved? Find ways to reach people where they're at.

#### Questions

- What will it take for society to understand the importance of food and the food system?
   Pandemic-like
- Flavor can be transformational
- How to increase education at all levels. Outside of schools, neighborhood hubs. More avenues
  for education on food, nutrition, and health are needed. Community health workers federal
  funding.

#### Excited to see in the GP

- Community gardens!
- Paid community health workers
- Restrictions on fast food need carrots and sticks
- Community garden coordinators
- School gardens can be community hubs and community gardens
- 6-6.2 Collaboration organizational.

#### **Breakout Group 4**

- Healthy food in schools
- Urban agriculture fence restrictions make it hard to make gardens
- Right to grow food
- Right to sell local produce grown at home like setting up market booth in a driveway
- Barrier to better school food
  - Small kitchens



- Short staffed
- Food safety
- Action 6-6.2: needs more than just requirements to stock fresh produce, or it will go to waste they need support to sell it, show case it, promote it
- Action 6-6.8: instead of 300 ft requirement, it should be about overall neighborhood density of the fast food places
- Action 6-6.17: solid soil testing for homes and gardens too
- Resources for coordination of community gardens to help them be maintained and prevented from falling into disrepair
- A method to ID vacant property for potential community gardens (addressed by 6-6.22?)
- Action 6-6.2: need to assure that price points of grocery stores are varied, i.e. not just discount, not just high
- Need to keep regulations on growing/selling produce reasonable, but safe not onerous with hoops to jump through
- Incentivize establishment of food hub and local farmers to aggregate, distribute food
- Climate change impacts on farmers
- Anthropocen is shifting us away from ag we see it happening today. We need more support for farming in the GP (water access, etc.) Prioritize water hierarchy for ag

#### **Breakout Group 5**

Comments for Food System Alliance

- Connect the dots as in the Food Action Plan reduce siloing
- Access with education hand in hand with connecting the dots
- Thanks to FSA for getting some of this language into the SRGP
- Strengthening neighborhood connection with the local food system
- How do we hold the City of Santa Rosa accountable in fulfilling the goals in the General Plan?
- FSA can do more with school and parents

#### Group comments re: Santa Rosa General Plan

- Strengthen role of community-based organizations
- Missing language re: pesticide use and organics
- Strengthen language about people growing food in many different ways and places
- Community gardens in "community parks" no just in "neighborhood parks"
- Strengthen language regarding SR City, School District 6-6.5
- Review for policies that could strengthen the ability of school districts to source local food
- Dedicate city space for one-stop shopping for food access help and other social services at the neighborhood level

#### Breakout Group 6

#### What resonates?

- Better definition needed on "healthy food"
- Urban ag in plan in a big way
- Community gardening is important and needs support
- Progressive but doesn't go far enough
- Language vague to leave open for interpretation
- Creates "operating space"
- Understands healthy food <u>access</u> issues; affordable even better but how is it operationalized to make it affordable
- Affordability; accommodation for accessibility to fresh product; food deserts and fast food access



• Income potential from sugar sweetened beverages tax? How to direct it to further GP goals? Stake it to health...revenue from unhealthy food should go to healthy food

#### Questions

- Precautionary principle planning considered? FAP is by nature utopian. How can it consider adverse future issues?
- Schools with independence of schools how can we connect with the General Plan or to foster support in schools?
- How do we connect state and local government with school policy (GP 6-6.5).
  - Weave in state programs language
- Gentrification: how can GP ensure affordable housing in the face of current pressures

#### Breakout Group 7

- Update zoning codes
- Save local dairy processing
- Culturally appropriate food options
- More support bringing healthy food / new initiatives to Equity Priority Areas (EPAs)
- Daily farmers market at Mitote Food Park
- SB1383!
- Transportation to healthy food options, including community bikes with baskets to carry food
- Save Manzana! (apple processing)
- Mobile food pantries
- Providing access to land for agriculture particularly for underrepresented farmers and to combat generational wealth
- Leveraging SRJC (Shone Farm) to introduce program for local meat processing or apprenticeship program with local butchers
- Any urban ag should support BIPOC folks with things like fast tracking permits and other incentives
- Healthy food in local school system procuring from local sources
- Centralized location to store food / food recovery with freezers (physical spaces)
- Work with SRJC culinary program (or other culinary programs) to prep healthy food for school system
  - Include the Ceres Model of training students to cook with farm grown ingredients
    - Outreach to underrepresented communities, including things like
      - Providing childcare at meetings like this
      - Hosting meetings in EPAs
      - Increasing awareness of food assistance programs

#### **Urban Ag Points**

- Any policy should maximize "operating space" to allow actors to resolve issues of urban food production
- Society regulates activities we want to suppress and de-regulates those we want to encourage. Urban Ag ordinance should be largely an act of de-regulation.
- Two myths that should be discussed:
  - Food production creates residential nuisances
  - Food production is an economic endeavor, i.e. it is not economically viable at the small-scale, and therefore not affordable.
- Urban Ag can survive primarily by acting within in the informal and domestic economy

- To meet household needs in EPAs, urban ag will need to include proteins and fats = animal husbandry
- Successful production and economic models can be found in history or nations that are monetarily poor or stressed
  - o Eastern Europe prior to 1990
  - o Balkans
  - o Southeast Asia
- Predicament: Good food is too expensive to buy. Food is too cheap to provide a livelihood to the farmer.
  - o Solution: shift production to the household and community garden level.

#### Miscellaneous Comments

Let's look at what's going right in other municipalities.

- Santa Cruz homeless garden projects
- Vermont Intervale
- Detroit farms and gardens within city limits
- Other??
- And can city employees call or write to the leaders of these projects to talk about how to implement or lay the foundations for implementation?
- And let's incentivize eerie high schooler getting out to SRJC's Shone Farm at least once before graduating

The following have interest in farm to school initiatives:

- Electeds
- CDFA Rep
- Edible food recovery

Food Equity In the Santa Rosa General Plan Event 8/22/23

Particular de la constitución de	A COLLOCAL LIGHT WAS IN CITALING	,
Name / Nombre:	Email / Correo electronico:	Initial
Amber Gonzales	amber.gonzales@sonoma-county.org	<u>M</u>
Anastacia Cruz	annacruz961@yahoo.com	A.C.
Andrea Pickett	apickett@schsd.org	M
Blythe Young	blythe.young@heart.org	
Cathrine Wolf	Cathrinewolf77@gmail.com	CW
Cathryn Couch	ccouch@ceresproject.org	CUL
Christina David	Davidcm11@gmail.com	Chilt
christine Hoex	ċhoex@sbcglobal.net	LUN
David Woltering	dwoltering@aol.com	
Dena Allen	dena@rahus,org	Do
Diane Wheeler	Dtexaswheeler@gmail.com	
Elaine Walter	ewalter_@live.com	
Erica Lipanovich	Erica.lipanovich@gmail.com	とし
Gabriela Hernandez	gabyher405@gmail.com	6.4
Janeen Murray	j.murray@golocal.coop	Ym
Jasmine Hunt	jhunt@nccwb.org	am >
Jennie Schultz	jgrace17@sbcglobal.net	
Jessica Rodriquez	jessicarodriquez79@gmail.com	
Jocelyn Mobley	Jocelyn@interfaithfood.org	7/4
joey smith	jwslgf@gmail.com	J.
Karen Girard	karen.girard3@gmail.com	MA
Kelly Conrad	kellynconrad3@gmail.com	
Kelly Smith	info@ilovefarmersmarkets.org	
Kristen Sales	kristen.sales@sonoma-county.org	
Kyle LaRue	klarue@ccnorthbay.org	
Lisa Ludwigsen	lisaludwigsen@gmail.com	£8.
Lori Houston	lori.houston@sonoma-county.org	LH
Marisol Canchola	MarisolC@calparents.org	
Marlon Javier Montoya Mejia	gamagi2022@gmail.com	MM
Morgan Meadows	morgan@cmnaturalfoods.com	***************************************
Morina Sysouvanh	Morina.sysouvanh@sonoma-county.org	MYS.
Natasha Granoff	njgranoff@sonic.net	<u> </u>
Nichole Warwick	nlcholewarwick@bluezones.com	4
Nicole Curreri	ncurrerl@partnershiphp.org	CVVC_
Nohemi Palomino	Miminayoyo179@gmail.com	
Paola Santos	paola.santos@sonoma-county.org	
Rebekah	soco.peer.deploy@gmail.com	
Rocio Rodriguez	rocio.rodriguezcasquete@sonoma-county.org	<u> </u>
Shan Magnuson	shanny@sonic.net	Σχ
Steve Schwartz	steve@interfaithfood.org	XV,
Wendy Kohatsu, MD	wkohatsu@gmail.com	<u> </u>

Tel.	CSA Fraule	1 thanklin esonic	12
<b>」</b>	e Dannay		56
Sus	an Garcia	Sgarcia@nccwb.org	SA
Zem	mecons	kemigratesucans, which	Ust
Tere	sevogo		ev
Siene	a Smith	siena mortesmillegement.com	dont
Roy	1 South	<u> </u>	4 4
Woa	liöw k		
Kath	m Adout	kathmyn cidaut camail on	KA
Dus	vic Esks	duskic@form forming.	ores .
<b>Z</b>	GNEL KAP	la gabriel. Kaplan &	
		Sinona-	

Draft Concepts shared with SCFSA in Jan.	Summer 2023 Draft	SCFSA Feedback on 2023 draft, gathered	Oct. 2024 Draft
2023 (SCFSA additions in red)		from event on 8/22/23	
	Food Access and Urban Agriculture		Food Access and Urban
			Agriculture
	Access to fresh foods, whole grains, and other		Access to fresh foods, whole grains, and other
	unprocessed or minimally processed foods is		unprocessed or minimally processed foods is
	essential to people's health. In addition to		essential to people's health.
	retail stores, Santa Rosa currently features five		The U.S. Department of Agriculture maps
	active community gardens that help provide		census
	healthy food options to community members.		tracts where a significant number or share of
	Community gardens play a critical role in the		residents is more than a specified distance
	city, sometimes serving as the primary food		from
	source when costs of and/or access to retail		the nearest supermarket. Figure 6-2 shows
	stores are limiting factors.		the
	The U.S. Department of Agriculture maps		tracts in Santa Rosa where residents are low
	census tracts where a significant number or		income and a half mile or more from the
	share of residents is more than a specified		nearest
	distance from the nearest supermarket. Figure		supermarket, as identified by the U.S.
	6-3 shows the tracts in Santa Rosa where		Department of Agriculture. The City
	residents are low income and a half mile or		designates
	more from the nearest supermarket. The City		such areas "Healthy Food Priority Areas" to
	designates such areas "Healthy Food Priority		target efforts to meet the nutritional needs of
	Areas" to target efforts to meet the nutritional		these communities. The City recognizes that
GOAL X. Community Food Security & Urban	Goal 6-6: Ensure that all households and		Goal 6-6. Ensure that all households and
Agriculture. Maintain and facilitate the	individuals have convenient, daily access to		individuals have convenient, daily access to
development of new forms of urban	affordable healthy food, including fresh		affordable, healthy food, including fresh
agriculture, urban farming, or urban	produce.		produce.
gardening, and community food production in			
Santa Rosa, including residential			
gardens,residents' and, community and school			
gardens, urban farms, cooperatives, rooftop			
farms, or other urban farming options that			
provide health, social, environmental, and			
economic benefits to residents, including			
access to fresh vegetables and fruits,			
promoting physical activity, activating and			
improving green spaces, community building,			
creating social connections, and providing			
nutritional education, especially in food deserts			
and equity priority communities.			
	<u> Santana da Majayaka Masake Mataka Liyya, sa Salaka Kasa.</u>		halipaga da di 1918 da pake da <u>ing padi an</u> Period Sandaliyang da da da da paga basa da basa da basa da basa da Basa da sanda da san

erswinger and a second of the control of

Healthy food retail establishments. Promote improved access to healthy food options that sell/serve culturally-relevant foods in areas with a high concentration of less healthy options, such as fast food chains, liquor stores, and convenience stores. Ensure retail food establishments accept federal food benefits including CalFresh and	Policy 6-6.1: Attract and support a range of healthy food retailers, prioritizing EPAs and Healthy Food Priority Areas, so that all residents live within one mile of a full-service grocery store, fresh produce market, or others offering fresh produce.		Policy 6-6.1: Attract and support a range of fresh food retailers, particularly in Equity Priority Areas and Healthy Food Priority Areas, so that all residents have access to healthy foods within one mile of where they live.
WIC.	Action 6-6.1: Partner with Sonoma County Health and Human Services to encourage stores in Santa Rosa to participate in the Federal Food Assistance Program.  Action 6-6.2: Require convenience stores, supermarkets, liquor stores, and neighborhood and ethnic markets to carry fresh produce, especially in EPAs and Healthy Food Priority Areas.	City should come to the neighborhoods with services as much as possible—offer office space to CalFresh, other food assistance so it is easier for people to sign up for benefits	Action 6-6.1: Encourage convenience stores, liquor stores, and neighborhood markets to carry fresh produce and participate in programs such as CalFresh, especially in Equity Priority Areas and Healthy Food Priority Areas.
Proposed farmers market policy: Identify locations near city center and/or housing hubs for a permanent farmers market (similar to city of Davis' Central Park Farmers Market)	Action 6-6.3: Update the Zoning Code to allow farmers markets in all nonresidential zoning districts by right with standards.		Action 6-6.2: Consider an update to the Zoning Code to allow farmers' markets in all nonresidential zoning districts by right with standards and where they will not be located on the same parcel as an existing grocery store.
	Action 6-6.4: Consult with the local hospitals, clinics, local school districts, Santa Rosa Community College, and the California State University Extension to produce and provide educational materials and programs aimed at promoting and facilitating healthier lifestyles.		
Healthy food options. Promote healthy food and beverage standards and procurement policies and practices in government buildings and government-sponsored events. Include nutrition standards and local food preferences. Promote access to culturally-relevant food.	groups, and other advocates to provide healthy		Action 6-6.3: Support local programs that provide healthy foods in schools and other public institutions.
	Action 6-6.6: Distribute information and educate low-income families and people experiencing homelessness about food assistance programs		Action 6-6.4: Support the distribution of information about food assistance programs.

	Policy 6-6.2: Encourage the establishment of	How do we increase demand for and access	Policy 6-6.2: Encourage the establishment of
	local restaurants and businesses that serve	to local healthy food rather than cheap fast	local restaurants and businesses that serve
	healthy food.	food? Lets make sure healthy food is	healthy food.
	Action 6-6.7: Update the Zoning Code to	available at a neighborhood by	
	require conditional-use-permit review for any	neighborhood scale. **Note, Top Theme** -	
	chain restaurant with more than five outlets in	This theme was present in three of six	
	the nine-county Bay Area.	breakout groups.	
	Action 6-6.8: Require at least 300 feet between	<ul> <li>Instead of restricting fast food by setting</li> </ul>	
	any two fast-food chain operations.	limits on how close together they can be, look	
	Action 6-6.9: Seek resources to facilitate establishment of "microenterprise home kitchen operations"—that is, restaurants operated out of a private residence—in EPAs, as allowed by Assembly Bill 686.  Action 6-6.10: Develop a program to provide fast-track permitting for healthy food and grocery stores in Healthy Food Priority Areas and underserved areas, as well as areas identified for increased residential development and mixed use.	at the density of fast food in neighborhoods—some neighborhoods have much higher density of fast food than others • Not enough to mandate all small corner stores to sell healthy food, have to do something to increase demand of that food so it doesn't go to waste and cost the store. • Support local food, such as tamales and local fruit.	Action 6-6.5: Consider streamlining permitting for full-service grocery stores in Healthy Food Priority Areas and underserved areas, as well as areas identified for increased residential development and mixed use.
COAL V. Community Food Consuity & Haban	Delieu C. C. 7: Capilitate urban agriculture	Carena aumora for arouging food in as many	Policy 6-6.3: Facilitate urban agriculture,
GOAL X. Community Food Security & Urban	Policy 6-6.3: Facilitate urban agriculture,	Strong support for growing food in as many	farming, gardening, and local
Agriculture. Maintain and facilitate the	farming, gardening, and local food	different ways as possible via urban ag.  **Note, Top Theme** - This theme was	food production, especially in
development of new forms of urban	production, especially in EPAs and Healthy	present in three of six breakout groups.	Equity Priority Areas and
agriculture, urban farming, or urban	Food Priority Areas.	present in three or six breakout groups.	1
gardening, and community food production			Healthy Food Priority Areas.
in Santa Rosa, including residential gardens,		Consider the present and future of Farming.	
community and school gardens, urban		Climate change is happening now and we	
farms, cooperatives, rooftop farms, or other		need to ensure access to water for farmers.	
urban farming options that provide health,			
social, environmental, and economic			
benefits to residents, including access to			
fresh vegetables and fruits, promoting			
physical activity, activating and improving			
green spaces, community building, creating			
social connections, and providing nutritional	·		
education, especially in food deserts and			
equity priority communities.			
	Action 6-6.11: Provide the necessary resources		Action 6-6.6: Support retention of the city's
	to retain the city's existing community		existing community gardens and
	gardens.		encourage development of new
			community gardens.

Equitable access to safe food-growing opportunities: Encourage the development of new urban agriculture sites in low income and underserved neighborhoods and coordinate efforts with parks and open space organizations. Combine programs on urban agriculture with food production safety, food literacy, and nutritional education.	Action 6-6.12: Support the creation of additional community gardens or other urban agriculture opportunities, particularly in EPAs and Healthy Food Priority Areas.	1	Action 6-6.7: Support the creation of additiona community gardens or other urban agriculture opportunities, particularly in Equity Priority Areas and Healthy Food Priority Areas
Revise the Zoning Code to allow urban agriculture, in its different forms, as permitted uses in all zoning districts of Santa Rosa, inclusive of community food production (as defined in AB 1990 and AB 234) and on-site sales. Revise Zoning Code to make community food production and urban agriculture an opt out activity instead of opt in for all zones.	Action 6-6.13: Consider updating the Zoning Code to allow all forms of urban agriculture by right in all zoning districts where appropriate, including community, yard, rooftop, indoor, and other gardens; community food production (as defined by the State); and onsite exchanges and sales.	Support for edible landscaping, urban fruit trees, allowing people to grow food in as many places and ways as possible.	Action 6-6.8: Consider updating the Zoning Code to allow urban agriculture where appropriate.
Develop Santa Rosa's Urban Agriculture ordinance. This ordinance should include strategies to increase access to healthy food, particularly in healthy food priority areas and equity priority communities; develop urban agriculture physical and operational standards; and mitigate soil hazards.	Action 6-6.14: Develop an Urban Agriculture ordinance that includes strategies to increase access to healthy food—particularly in EPAs and Healthy Food Priority Areas—and standards for operation and soil mitigation.		Action 6-6.9: Consider developing an Urban Agriculture Ordinance that includes strategies to increase access to healthy food—particularly in Equity Priority Areas and Healthy Food Priority Areas—and standards for operation and soil mitigation.
Offer incentives to developers to include a small farm in the development in exchange for allowing higher density housing units.	Action 6-6.15: Explore the feasibility of enacting an Urban Agriculture Incentive Zone (per Assembly Bill 551) to allow landowners to receive tax incentives for putting land into agricultural use.		Action 6-6.10: Explore the feasibility of enacting an Urban Agriculture Incentive Zone (per Government Code Section 51040) to allow landowners to receive tax incentives for putting land into agricultural use.

POLICY X.2 Partnerships for Urban Agriculture.	Action 6-6.16: Partner with the County,	Strengthen the ability of schools to source	Action 6-6.11: Evaluate ways to support urban
Collaborate and partner with Sonoma County,	nonprofits, school districts, neighborhood	locally	agriculture in schools, parks,
non-profits, schools, neighborhood	organizations, faith-based organizations, and	Some suggested that schools could be hubs	hospitals, and other public land
organizations, faith-based organizations, and	others to identify and develop sites for urban	for community gardens (not only school	and spaces where appropriate
advocates to identify and develop sites with	agriculture potential, and support all urban	gardens).	
urban agriculture potential, especially in low	agriculture types in schools, parks, hospitals,	, see	
income and underserved neighborhoods.	correction facilities, and other public land and		
Support urban agriculture in schools, parks,	spaces suitable for urban agriculture	÷.	
hospitals, correction facilities, or other public	development, including public easements and		
land suitable for urban agriculture	rights-of-way.		
development, including public easements and	ingnia or way.		
rights-of-way, where appropriate, and not in			
conflict with other uses, utility infrastructure, or			
needs of property owners.			
Evaluate contaminants that may be harmful to			Action 6-6.12: Encourage landowners to
human health on land proposed for urban	of contaminants that may be harmful to		evaluate the potential presence of
agriculture including site history assessments	human health on land proposed for urban		contaminants that may be
and soil testing. Help provide financial	agriculture, including by performing site		harmful to human health on land
resources for soil testing and remediation.	history assessments and soil testing.		proposed for urban agriculture,
			including by performing site
			history assessments and soil
	Action C. C. 10: Identify Financial resources for		Action 6-6.13: Identify financial resources for
	Action 6-6.18: Identify financial resources for		
	soil testing and remediation on identified sites		soil
	for urban agriculture.		testing and remediation on identified sites for urban
			agriculture
Proposed policy for food hubs: Direct staff to	Action 6-6.19: Work with the County	Strengthen the ability of schools to source	adriculture
partner with Ag and Open Space to establish	Agricultural Preservation and Open Space	locally	
food hubs throughout the city where residents	1 7	Food hubs facilitate institutional	
can meet and exchange excess home-grown	city where community members can meet and	1	
produce. This would help with food waste and	exchange excess home-grown produce	need more of them [this comment refers to	
recovery goals while increasing access to	The state of the s	commercial food hubs, which is slightly	
healthy food and building community.		different from the community food hubs in	
Trouble to the saliding continuing.		the draft policy]	
		oSupport for food hubs, including	
		distribution, aggregation, and processing,	
		alongside support for micro businesses and	
		home kitchens and small kitchens. Suggested	
		food hub zoning.	

POLICY X.3 Community Education on Urban Agriculture. Collaborate with Sonoma County Department of Health Services, school districts in Santa Rosa, and non-profit organizations, particularly at schools, community centers, farmers markets, and libraries, on efforts to educate the community on the nutritional health, social, economic, and environmental benefits of urban farming and consuming locally grown and ecologically sound foods. Collaborate with programs on food production safety, food literacy, cooking, food waste	Action 6-6.20: Partner with the County Department of Health Services, local schools, and nonprofit organizations to provide education about the nutritional, social, economic, and environmental benefits of urban farming and locally grown and ecologically sound foods; urban agriculture opportunities; food production safety; food literacy; cooking; and food waste reduction.	Strengthen the ability of schools to source locally  Need more education inside and outside of schools that is appropriate to culture and language.  OFood waste prevention—need repurposing kitchens to meet mandates in SB 1383 to reduce greenhouse gasses as a result of food waste.	Action 6-6.14: Support partner agencies in providing education about the nutritional, social, economic, and environmental benefits of urban farming and locally grown and ecologically sound foods; urban agriculture opportunities; food production safety; food literacy; cooking; and food waste reduction.
Ensure the Neighborhood Food Act (AB 2561) is recognized, removing barriers renters and	Neighborhood Food Act (Assembly Bill 2561),		
members of homeowners' associations (HOAs) face when trying to grow food for themselves at home.  Educate landowners including owners of apartment complexes and home-owners associations about the benefits of urban gardening and edible landscaping.	educate landowners, apartment complexes, and homeowners associations (HOA) about the benefits of urban gardening and edible landscaping, and work with them to remove any barriers that renters and owners with an HOA face when trying to grow food for self-consumption, exchange, or sale.		
POLICY X.6 Urban agriculture on private property. Incentivize private property owners to provide opportunities for residential gardening, urban agriculture, and cottage food businesses on privately owned land including leasing to new, beginning, limited resource, and BIPOC food producers.	Action 6-6.22: Establish incentives for private property owners and developers to provide opportunities for residential gardening and urban agriculture, and similar opportunities to food producers who are emerging, have limited resources, and/or are people of color.	Ensuring BIPOC land access and ownership. The group was very aware of the dynamics of social equity and looked at the Food Action Plan goal of social equity from a number of different angles.	Action 6-6.15: Encourage private property owners and developers to provide opportunities for residential gardening and urban agriculture, and similar opportunities to food producers who are emerging, have limited resources, and/or are
POLICY X.4 Urban Agriculture for Personal Consumption. Allow urban agriculture opportunities such as yard, roof-top, indoor, and other gardens that produce ecologically sound food and culturally appropriate food for personal consumption. Incentivize developers to incorporate gardens and edible landscaping on new and existing residential, commercial, and public development projects that produce food for residents and workers.			

 $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = (x_1, \dots, x_n) = (x$ 

POLICY X.5 Agricultural land protection.	Action 6-6.24: Work with the County to protect	Action 6-6.16: Work with the County to protec
Protect and enhance the agricultural land	the agricultural land base in the Sphere of	the agricultural land base in the
pase, including urban gardens and peri-urban	Influence, including urban gardens, farms, and	Sphere of Influence, including
arms and ranches within Santa Rosa's sphere	ranches.	urban gardens, farms, and
of influence, that is not planned for		ranches.
urbanization in the timeframe of the General		
Plan. Partner with Community Land Trusts as a		
means of increasing affordability of housing		
and land for food production.		

## Woltering, Nancy

From:

Shan Magnuson <shannysonic@gmail.com>

Sent:

Saturday, November 2, 2024 10:57 AM

To:

**Laurel Chambers** 

Cc:

Woltering, Nancy; Jones, Jessica; Nicholson, Amy; scfsa@googlegroups.com

Subject:

[EXTERNAL] Re: [SCFSA GG] Sonoma County Food System Alliance GP Engagement

Thank you, Laurel for sending this letter. I agree with all your concerns and strongly encourage the new draft of the Santa Rosa General Plan to include the language originally proposed which included recommendations from the Sonoma County Food Action Plan.

I hope the efforts of the Sonoma County Food System Alliance and the results of the Aug 22, 2023 convening, which I attended, will be accurately reflected in the draft plan.

Thanks for your attention.

Sincerely, Shan Magnuson

Good afternoon,

I am writing on behalf of the Sonoma County Food System Alliance (SCFSA) regarding the General Plan draft released last month. We were surprised and disappointed to see that the new draft of the Food Access and Urban Agriculture has been significantly pared back and the language weakened. We complied the attached comparison spreadsheet to show how the draft policies changed over time alongside the feedback our group provided.

ļ

Santa Rosa City planners attended multiple SCFSA meetings and gathered our thoughts and feedback leading up to the first draft of the General plan released last summer. They assured us that they had reviewed the SCFSA's <u>Food Action Plan</u> and would incorporate its goals. We provided feedback on the draft concepts and helped shape the goals and actions that ended up in the draft. We then spent considerable time, effort, and our own funding to co-host a community engagement event on August 22<sup>nd</sup>, 2023. It was a wonderful collaboration and the planners were very appreciative of the feedback and the excellent turnout. About 50 people attended the event and 36 signed in on the sign-in sheet, but the Community Discussions Summary says there were only 17 participants and the document does not reflect the report that the facilitator prepared (see attached Meeting Summary).

Can you please explain why our input was not accurately reflected in the Community Discussions Summary and why actions were removed or changed in the most recent draft?

Sincerely,

Laurel Chambers, on behalf of the Sonoma County Food System Alliance

#### Laurel Chambers, MPH

Healthy Eating, Active Living Coordinator

**Public Health Division** 

Cell: 408-204-0973

laurel.chambers@sonoma-county.org



You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "Sonoma County Food System Alliance" group.

To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to SCFSA+unsubscribe@googlegroups.com.

To view this discussion visit

 $\frac{https://groups.google.com/d/msgid/SCFSA/PHOPR09MB8411B42D656127016F2CC8E1A4562\%40PHOPR09MB8411.na}{mprd09.prod.outlook.com}.$ 

From: Shan Magnuson
To: Laurel Chambers

 Cc:
 Woltering, Nancy: Jones, Jessica: Nicholson, Amy: scfsa@googlegroups.com

 Subject:
 [EXTERNAL] Re: [SCFSA GG] Sonoma County Food System Alliance GP Engagement

Date: Saturday, November 2, 2024 10:57:17 AM

Attachments: image001.png

Thank you, Laurel for sending this letter. I agree with all your concerns and strongly encourage the new draft of the Santa Rosa General Plan to include the language originally proposed which included recommendations from the Sonoma County Food Action Plan.

I hope the efforts of the Sonoma County Food System Alliance and the results of the Aug 22, 2023 convening, which I attended, will be accurately reflected in the draft plan.

Thanks for your attention.

Sincerely, Shan Magnuson

On Fri, Nov 1, 2024 at 3:21 PM 'Laurel Chambers' via Sonoma County Food System Alliance <a href="mailto:sCFFSA@googlegroups.com">sCFFSA@googlegroups.com</a>> wrote:

Good afternoon,

I am writing on behalf of the Sonoma County Food System Alliance (SCFSA) regarding the General Plan draft released last month. We were surprised and disappointed to see that the new draft of the Food Access and Urban Agriculture has been significantly pared back and the language weakened. We complied the attached comparison spreadsheet to show how the draft policies changed over time alongside the feedback our group provided.

Santa Rosa City planners attended multiple SCFSA meetings and gathered our thoughts and feedback leading up to the first draft of the General plan released last summer. They assured us that they had reviewed the SCFSA's Food Action Plan and would incorporate its goals. We provided feedback on the draft concepts and helped shape the goals and actions that ended up in the draft. We then spent considerable time, effort, and our own funding to co-host a community engagement event on August 22<sup>nd</sup>, 2023. It was a wonderful collaboration and the planners were very appreciative of the feedback and the excellent turnout. About 50 people attended the event and 36 signed in on the sign-in sheet, but the Community Discussions Summary says there were only 17 participants and the document does not reflect the report that the facilitator prepared (see attached Meeting Summary).

Can you please explain why our input was not accurately reflected in the Community Discussions Summary and why actions were removed or changed in the most recent draft?

Sincerely,

Laurel Chambers, on behalf of the Sonoma County Food System Alliance

Laurel Chambers, MPH

Healthy Eating, Active Living Coordinator

Public Health Division

Cell: 408-204-0973

laurel.chambers@sonoma-county.org



You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "Sonoma County Food System Alliance" group.
To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to <a href="mailtoscFSA+unsubscribe@googlegroups.com">SCFSA+unsubscribe@googlegroups.com</a>.
To view this discussion visit
<a href="mailtoscFSA/PH0PR09MB8411B42D656127016F2CC8E1A4562%40PH0PR09MB8411.namprd09.prod.outlook.com">https://groups.google.com/d/msgid/SCFSA/PH0PR09MB8411B42D656127016F2CC8E1A4562%40PH0PR09MB8411.namprd09.prod.outlook.com</a>.

## Woltering, Nancy

From:

Ken MacNab <ken@kmacadvising.com>

Sent:

Tuesday, November 5, 2024 12:08 PM

To:

Woltering, Nancy

Cc:

Jones, Jessica; Nicholson, Amy

Subject:

Re: [EXTERNAL] Status of 2050 General Plan Update

Thanks Nancy. I do have one over-arching question/ concern. In reviewing the updated Draft GP I did not see any mention or consideration of the South Santa Rosa Specific Plan process. I presume the same is true of the Draft EIR (I am just starting my review). This is a little surprising given the scope/magnitude of the SSRSP planning effort. I am curious to know why this is and if there was any internal discussions about this during preparation of the updated draft.

Ken

Ken MacNab
KMac Advising

Sent from my mobile, please excuse typos

From: Woltering, Nancy <nwoltering@srcity.org>
Sent: Tuesday, November 5, 2024 11:47:18 AM
To: Ken MacNab <ken@kmacadvising.com>

Cc: Jones, Jessica <jjones@srcity.org>; Nicholson, Amy <anicholson@srcity.org>

Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] Status of 2050 General Plan Update

Hi Ken,

We are in the process of discussing whether to include the letters, or just provide a quick summary of the comments received to date. I will clarify once we have settled on our process.

Thanks, Nancy

From: Ken MacNab < ken@kmacadvising.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 5, 2024 10:44 AM
To: Woltering, Nancy < nwoltering@srcity.org>

Cc: Jones, Jessica <jjones@srcity.org>; Nicholson, Amy <anicholson@srcity.org>

Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] Status of 2050 General Plan Update

Thank you Nancy! Will submitted letters go out in the PC agenda packet? If yes, what is the deadline for submitting something to Amy?

Ken

Ken MacNab

KMac Advising, LLC

From: Woltering, Nancy <a href="mailto:nwoltering@srcity.org">nwoltering@srcity.org</a>

Sent: Monday, November 4, 2024 9:51 AM

To: Ken MacNab < ken@kmacadvising.com > Cc: Jones, Jessica < jjones@srcity.org > ; Nicholson, Amy < anicholson@srcity.org > Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] Status of 2050 General Plan Update

Hi Ken,

Please direct comments to Amy Nicholson, Supervising Planner. The General Plan 2050 Draft EIR will be going before the Planning Commission on November 14<sup>th</sup>. The focus of the meeting will be on the adequacy of the General Plan 2050 Draft EIR. If we receive comments on the General Plan, we will also forward them to Commissioners. Meetings on the General Plan 2050 and Final EIR will be in the Spring.

Thanks, Ken! Best, Nancy

Nancy Woltering, AICP CEP | Senior Planner - Advance Planning
Planning & Economic Development | 100 Santa Rosa Avenue, Room 3 | Santa Rosa, CA 95404
Tel. (707) 543-4688 | Cell (707) 291-6197 | <a href="mailto:nwoltering@srcity.org">nwoltering@srcity.org</a>





From: Ken MacNab < ken@kmacadvising.com > Sent: Monday, November 4, 2024 9:45 AM
To: Woltering, Nancy < nwoltering@srcity.org >

Cc: Jones, Jessica <jjones@srcity.org>; Nicholson, Amy <anicholson@srcity.org>

Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] Status of 2050 General Plan Update

Hi Nancy-

Hope this message finds you well. We are reviewing the October update to the Draft 2050 General Plan document and will likely have some comments. I am writing to ask who our comments should be directed to. I also wanted to ask if a meeting on the updated Draft GP document has been scheduled before the PC.

Please let me know when you get a chance.

Thanks-

Ken

From: Altamirano, Gino <galtamirano@coblentzlaw.com>

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 12, 2024 5:49 PM **To:** Woltering, Nancy < <u>nwoltering@srcity.org</u>> **Subject:** [EXTERNAL] RE: Projections for Jobs

Nancy, I am interested in the 300 sq. ft. per employee for commercial (see below taken from the October 2024 Draft General Plan update) and if this projection/standard will regulate the number of employees a commercial business/operator can have in a commercial area?

Thank you, Gino

CHAPTER 2 | LAND USE AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

CHAPTER 2   LAND USE AND ECONOMIC DEVELO  Table 2-1					
Permitted Densities/Intensities Under the General Plan					
Land Use	Corresponding Zoning Districts	Residential Density (housing units/gross acre)	Residential Density Midpoint (housing units/gross acre)	Square Feet per Employee	
Residential					
Very Low Density	Rural Residential (RR)	0.2-2.0	1.0	<u>2</u> 8	
Low Density/Open Space	Single-Family Residential (R-1)	2.0-8.0	4.0	=>	
Low Density	R-1	2.0-8.0	5.0		
Medium Low Density	R-1	8.0-13.0	10.0		
Medium Density	Medium Density Multifamily Residential (R-2)	8.0–18.0	13.0	=9	
Medium High Density	Multifamily Residential (R-3)	18.0–30.0	24.0	<u>us</u>	
Mobile Home Parks	Mobile Home Park (MH)	4.0-18.0	10.0	-9	
Mixed Use			3		
Transit Village Medium	Transit Village-Residential (TV-R)	25.0–40.0	(43)	300	
Transit Village Mixed Use	Transit Village-Mixed (TV-M)	40.0 minimum	-	300	
Core Mixed Use	Core Mixed Use (CMU)	=:	0 <del>-1</del> 0	-0	
Station Mixed Use	Station Mixed Use (SMU)	=:	040	-	
Maker Mixed Use	Maker Mixed Use (MMU)		<u> </u>	<u>=</u> 8	
Neighborhood Mixed Use	Neighborhood Mixed Use (NMU)	25.0–40.0	191	920	
Commercial  Retail and Business		æ1	( <del>=</del> )		
Services Community Shopping Center Neighborhood Shopping Center	General Commercial (CG)	B.I	-	300	
Office	Office Commercial (OC)	21	37	250	
Industrial Business Park	Business Park (BP)	B1	-	350	
Light Industry	Light Industrial (IL)	=	( <del>=</del> )	400	

From: Woltering, Nancy < <a href="mailto:nwoltering@srcity.org">nwoltering@srcity.org</a>>
Sent: Tuesday, November 12, 2024 5:38 PM

To: Altamirano, Gino <galtamirano@coblentzlaw.com>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Projections for Jobs

#### Hi Gino,

I received your phone message. The 2050 General Plan projections for jobs are based on the square footage capacity\* of different uses multiplied by a jobs multiplier that is unique to each use. For example, the employee multiplier for new office space is 3.32 jobs per additional 1,000 square feet. These multipliers are from the SCTA model. \*The jobs multiplier for hotels is based on the number of hotel rooms and for educational facilities is based on the number of students.

Does that answer your question? Please let me know if you have further questions.

Thanks, Nancy

#### Nancy Woltering, AICP CEP | Senior Planner - Advance Planning

Planning & Economic Development | 100 Santa Rosa Avenue, Room 3 | Santa Rosa, CA 95404 Tel. (707) 543-4688 | Cell (707) 291-6197 | nwoltering@srcity.org





From: International con-Yo: International con-Subject (ECTESNA) Comment from SIGP Weeks Date: Wednesday, November 20, 2024 9:48 31

Comment Submitted by:

Name: Robin North

Organization: None Given
Email: rinorth@sonic.net

#### Comment:

Comment: What this plan seems to ignore or put on the back-burner is that urban tree cover is in a very bad state. The last attention paid to it seems to be in the '90's. The approved tree list is outdated. Any trees planted around this time are at the end of their life span and are not being replaced. In fact, the city's policy is to fill in the planting wells with more concrete. The language of this policy does not reflect the urgency of this situation. The language of the policy does not convey that the authors understand that to get people out walking our streets and neighborhoods instead of driving the streets need to be shaded.

#### See all comments.

 $https://nam11.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/? \\url=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.santarosaforward.com%2Fmail\_forms%2Flisting&data=05%7C02%7Cnwoltering%40srcity.org%7C5e2171b2c4d647da280608dd098b873c%7C0d511985462e4402a0b038e1dadf689e%7C1%7C0%7C638677217106802247%7CUnknown%$ 

7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJFbXB0eU1hcGkiOnRydWUsIIYiOiIwLjAuMDAwMCIsIIAiOiJXaW4z MiIsIkFOIjoiTWFpbCIsIIdUIjoyfQ%3D%3D%7C0%7C%7C%7C&sdata=YT2Ht% 2Brbkrx8wSw8Tc9cEojcSvha%2F91MTadFjx9w7Ic%3D&reserved=0

To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to info +unsubscribe@santarosaforward.com.